

The block diagram illustrates a computer system (10) for controlling a fiber optic lighting system (30). The system includes a central CPU (24) connected to a DISPLAY (36), a VIDEO CARD (28), a VIDEO CAMERA (26), a MOTORIZED MECHANISM (20), a DATA STORAGE unit (32), and a HARD DRIVE (HD) (40). The CPU (24) is also connected to a MOTORIZED MECHANISM (25) and a FIBER OPTIC LIGHTING SYSTEM (30). The FIBER OPTIC LIGHTING SYSTEM (30) consists of a TRAY (18), a MOVABLE STAGE (16), and a FIBER OPTIC LIGHTING SYSTEM (30). The VIDEO CAMERA (26) is connected to the VIDEO CARD (28) and the MOTORIZED MECHANISM (20). The VIDEO CARD (28) is connected to the DISPLAY (36). The MOTORIZED MECHANISM (20) is connected to the TRAY (18). The TRAY (18) is connected to the MOVABLE STAGE (16). The MOVABLE STAGE (16) is connected to the FIBER OPTIC LIGHTING SYSTEM (30).

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

| | | | | | | | |
|----|--------------------------|----|--|----|--|----|--------------------------|
| AL | Albania | ES | Spain | LS | Lesotho | SI | Slovenia |
| AM | Armenia | FI | Finland | LT | Lithuania | SK | Slovakia |
| AT | Austria | FR | France | LU | Luxembourg | SN | Senegal |
| AU | Australia | GA | Gabon | LV | Larvia | SZ | Swaziland |
| AZ | Azerbaijan | GB | United Kingdom | MC | Monaco | TD | Chad |
| BA | Bosnia and Herzegovina | GE | Georgia | MD | Republic of Moldova | TG | Togo |
| BB | Barbados | GH | Ghana | MG | Madagascar | TJ | Tajikistan |
| BE | Belgium | GN | Guinea | MK | The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia | TM | Turkmenistan |
| BF | Burkina Faso | GR | Greece | ML | Mali | TR | Turkey |
| BG | Bulgaria | HU | Hungary | MN | Mongolia | TT | Trinidad and Tobago |
| BJ | Benin | IE | Ireland | MR | Mauritania | UA | Ukraine |
| BR | Brazil | IL | Israel | MW | Malawi | UG | Uganda |
| BY | Belarus | IS | Iceland | MX | Mexico | US | United States of America |
| CA | Canada | IT | Italy | NE | Niger | UZ | Uzbekistan |
| CF | Central African Republic | JP | Japan | NL | Netherlands | VN | Viet Nam |
| CG | Congo | KE | Kenya | NO | Norway | YU | Yugoslavia |
| CH | Switzerland | KG | Kyrgyzstan | NZ | New Zealand | ZW | Zimbabwe |
| CI | Côte d'Ivoire | KP | Democratic People's Republic of Korea | PL | Poland | | |
| CM | Cameroon | KR | Republic of Korea | PT | Portugal | | |
| CN | China | KZ | Kazakhstan | RO | Romania | | |
| CU | Cuba | LC | Saint Lucia | RU | Russian Federation | | |
| CZ | Czech Republic | LJ | Liechtenstein | SD | Sudan | | |
| DE | Germany | LK | Sri Lanka | SE | Sweden | | |
| DK | Denmark | LR | Liberia | SG | Singapore | | |
| EE | Estonia | | | | | | |

METHOD FOR ACQUIRING, STORING AND ANALYZING CRYSTAL IMAGES

Background of the Invention

I. Field of the Invention

5 The present invention relates to a computer controlled system which acquires, stores and analyzes crystal images and other parameters relevant to the crystals, or microscopic details of other specimens.

II. Description of the Prior Art

10 In many chemical, pharmaceutical and medical applications crystals, e.g. protein crystals, are grown in trays for subsequent evaluation by a lab technician or scientist (hereinafter collectively referred to as "technician"). In evaluating the crystals once grown, the lab technician examines the crystals under the microscope and then visually evaluates or rates individual crystals. The straightness of the crystal edges, size of the crystal, presence or absence of flaws in the crystal as well
15 as other crystal parameters are used by the technician in his or her rating process. The technician may also maintain notes of other parameters, such as pH, crystal growing time, temperature, et cetera, which are relevant to the particular crystal.

 In some instances, the technician will take and maintain a photograph of the particular crystal under examination. The photograph is then stored along with
20 the notes relevant to the particular crystals in the particular tray.

 This previously known system for evaluating and rating crystals is disadvantageous for a number of reasons. Most prominently, the crystal evaluation and rating system is labor intensive and, thus, not only slow and expensive in labor costs, but also tedious for the technician.

25 A still further disadvantage of these previously known systems is that the photographic record used for the examined crystal is expensive in material costs from the photographic process. Furthermore, photographs by their very nature are easily damaged and also deteriorate over time.

30 A still further disadvantage of the previously known method for evaluating and rating crystals is that no efficient means or system has been previously known for cross-referencing the various crystals and crystal parameters relative to each

other. Instead, the photographic picture as well as the other parameters relevant to the particular picture are simply maintained separately from the crystal specimens.

Summary of the Present Invention

5 The present invention provides a system utilizing a digital computer which overcomes all of the above-mentioned disadvantages of acquiring, storing and evaluating crystals, such as protein crystals, or microscopic details of other specimens.

10 In brief, the present invention utilizes a video camera which provides a digital output signal representative of an object, in this case crystals maintained within a tray, positioned within its focal window. Preferably, a central computer controls a movable stage to sequentially position trays in the focal window. The camera is operated under the control of a central computer which not only activates the initiation of the image acquisition by the camera, but also stores the
15 output from the camera in digital form on data storage media. Preferably, the optical image from the video camera is stored on removable data storage media, such as a JAZZ™ drive, ZIP™ drive or CD ROM, etc..

20 In addition to storing the acquired optical image from the video camera, the technician, via a computer keyboard, mouse or other computer input means, also inputs data corresponding to parameters relevant to the particular crystal specimen under examination. Such parameters can include, for example, the pH, temperature, duration of crystal growth, et cetera for the particular crystal specimen. Additionally, the computer software preferably provides input template configurations to simplify the data input of the parameters by the technician and
25 thus avoid or at least minimize the redundant input of information for different trays having similar parameters.

30 The various stored parameters may also be stored with the optical image on the data storage media. However, more preferably, the parameters relevant to the crystal specimens are stored in a database on one data storage media, for example a hard drive, with an index or record pointer to the appropriate image stored on the removable drive with the optical images. In this fashion, a large

database of the various crystal parameters may be maintained and analyzed relative to each other with access to the optical images always available as required or desired.

5 Following acquisition of the optical image as well as the other crystal parameters, the computer is programmed to analyze the optical image for the presence and count of protein crystals. As a part of the evaluation, the computer program identifies the edges of the crystal, filling in any gaps of the edge where necessary, and then analyzes the resulting data for its perimeter symmetry and roughness, straightness, crystal size, presence or absence of defects and center of gravity. The crystal rating is then stored in the data base.

10 Preferably, the present invention utilizes a fast T-squared filter during its analysis of the optical image in order to grade the crystal. Alternatively, the present invention utilizes a 3x3 edge detection filter during its analysis of the optical image in order to identify the crystal edges, then the image is converted to
15 a binary image with a threshold of approximately 40 on a scale of 0 to 255 to reduce image artifacts. The size of the crystal is determined via perimeter connectivity analysis. Objects with a small perimeter are excluded. The net resulting image is analyzed for its roughness which is a measure of the perimeter divided by the convex perimeter. This metric is used to isolate the crystal from
20 the drop boundary and other artifacts. The center of gravity is calculated on the remaining data to pinpoint the crystals.

25 Alternatively, other methods may also be used to grade the crystal based upon metrics such as edge straightness, aspect ratio, surface clarity, polygon formation, color etc. These methods include the use of traditional spatial filters such as highpass, lowpass, Butterworth, homomorphic, Sobel, Laplacian, etc.
30 Probabilistic restoration such as least mean square (Wiener) filters, fast T-squared filters, spatial transformations, frequency transformations, etc. can be used. Edge linking and boundary detection using Hough Transforms, "Line-filler" filters, thresholding, etc. can be used. Representation and description using Fourier descriptors, topological descriptors, texture descriptors, statistical descriptors, moments, mathematical morphological descriptors, etc. can be used. Recognition

using minimum distance classifiers, correlation classifiers, statistical classifiers, Bayesian classifiers, neural networks, genetic algorithms, etc. can be used.

Brief Description of the Drawing

5 A better understanding of the present invention will be had upon reference to the following detailed description when read in conjunction with the accompanying drawing, wherein like reference characters refer to like parts throughout the several views, and in which:

FIG. 1 is a block diagrammatic view illustrating a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

10 FIG. 2 is an exemplary image of a crystal; and

FIGS. 3 through 6 are flow charts illustrating the operation of the system of the present invention.

Detailed Description of a Preferred Embodiment of the Present Invention

15 With reference first to FIG. 1, a block diagrammatic view of a preferred embodiment of the system of the present invention is there shown and comprises a video camera 12 which, upon activation, generates a signal on its output line 10 representative of the optical image in its focal window 16. A tray 18 containing the crystals under examination is positioned within the focal window 16.

20 Although the tray 18 can be positioned manually within the focal window 16 of the camera 12, in the preferred embodiment of the invention, preferably a plurality of trays 18, e.g. two trays, are positioned on a movable stage at a time and each tray contains twenty-four to ninety-six wells while a motorized mechanism 20 is used to control the position of the stage 22. A central processing
25 unit or computer 24, under program control, then controls the activation of the motorized mechanism 20 by generating appropriate signals on line 25 and thus controls the position of the stage 22. In doing so, the motorized mechanism 20 automatically sequentially positions the individual wells 18 within the focal window 16 of the camera 12 so that an optical image of each well 18 is acquired.

In one embodiment, the computer 24 can control the ability of the system to focus, as well as zoom in/out on the specimen, and then store this information.

Once the motorized mechanism 20 has positioned a desired well 18 in the focal window 16, the central processing unit 24 generates an output signal on its output line 26 to the camera 12 in order to activate the camera 12 to produce signals on its output line 14 representative of the crystal image. These output signals are fed as input signals to the central processing unit 24 either directly via a port on the central processing unit 24 or via an appropriate input device 28, such as an appropriate video card.

In order to ensure even illumination of the crystal specimen during the image acquisition by the camera 12, preferably a fiber optic lighting system 30 is utilized as a back light under the tray 16. In one embodiment, the computer 24 can control the intensity of the lighting system 30, as well as the presence of light. Additionally, the computer 24 can also control polarizing means, such as the angle of polarization. Other illumination means, however, may alternatively be used.

Following acquisition of the output signal from the video camera 12, the central processing unit 24 stores the image on data storage media using a storage device 32. Since a digital representation of an optical image typically consumes a relatively great amount of memory, e.g. one megabyte, the data storage device 32 is preferably a removable data storage media such as a JAZZ™ drive, ZIP™ drive or CD ROM, etc. Other types of media, including other types of removable data storage media, may alternatively be used.

During the data acquisition of the image by the central processing unit 24, the technician also utilizes a keyboard 34 or other data input equipment together with a video monitor 36 to input data pertinent to the particular crystal specimen. Such data input can include, for example, the pH of the specimen, temperature of the specimen, protein type of the specimen, detergents, additives, preservatives, reservoir buffer and associated variables of concentration, pH and volume, notes, score, drop descriptor, etc. Furthermore, in order to facilitate the entry of these additional parameters by the technician, the central processing unit 24 preferably utilizes software templates displayed on the video monitor 36 to eliminate, or at

least minimize, the entry of redundant or repetitive information by the technician. Examples of such are included in Appendix A, incorporated herein.

5 The various parameters for each crystal specimen are also stored on data storage media, such as a hard drive 40, in a database and preferably in a relational database. Since the various parameters pertinent to the crystal specimens consume considerably less storage space than the optical images themselves, preferably the parameters are stored on the hard drive 40 separate from the optical images stored by the data storage device 32. In doing so, the parameters stored on the hard drive 40 would include an index or pointer to the optical images stored by the data storage media unit 32 so that the various crystal parameters stored in the database
10 may be easily correlated whenever desired to the optical images.

The storage of the optical images of the crystals on data storage media as well as the storage of the crystal parameters in a database, such as a relational database, provide substantial advantages over the previously known photography and handwritten notes currently utilized by technicians. Perhaps most
15 importantly, the use of a relational database allows the data to be analyzed, sorted, correlated and otherwise manipulated under software control by the central processing unit 24. The storage of the optical images on data storage media also provides a permanent record of the images without the previously known degradation and possible damage of photographs.
20

With reference now to FIG. 2, an exemplary image of a crystal stored by the central processing unit on data storage media is there shown. The crystal 150 includes a plurality of edges 152 having a certain degree of straightness. Additionally, the edges 152 of the image of the crystal 150 may include gaps 154
25 due to lighting deficiencies during the image acquisition, deficiencies of the camera 12, or for other reasons.

With reference now to FIG. 3, a flow chart illustrating the operation of the present invention is there shown. At step 50, the program is initialized and the central processing unit 24 generates signals on its output line 25 to the motorized mechanism 20 (FIG. 1) in order to move the stage 22 to position the first crystal
30 tray well 18 within the focal window 16 of the video camera 12. Step 50 then branches to step 52. At step 52, the central processing unit 24 generates an output

signal on line 26 to activate the video camera 12 to acquire the optical image and to input the optical image from the camera output line 10 of the camera 12 to the central processing unit 24. Step 52 then branches to step 54.

5 At step 54, the central processing unit 24 stores the optical image via the data storage device 32 (FIG. 1). Optionally, appropriate data compression software may be utilized to minimize the storage required by the optical image of the crystal storage. Likewise, optionally digital filtering algorithms may be also utilized to remove extraneous noise and otherwise enhance the digital image prior to storage on the data storage media by the storage device 32 or 40.

10 Concurrently with storing the optical image of the crystal on data storage media, the technician inputs various relevant parameters, such as pH, temperature, crystal growth duration, protein type, et cetera pertinent to the crystal under examination via the keyboard 34 or other input device. Preferably, the central processing unit 24 under software control provides appropriate templates to the technician replicating various parameters common to the various specimens in the
15 trays 18 on the stage 22. In doing so, the entry of redundant or duplicative information by the technician between crystal specimens is minimized which minimizes not only the labor required from the lab technician, but also the entry of errors through human technician error.

20 The various crystal parameters entered by the technician are also stored at step 54 by the central processing unit 24 on the data storage media 32 or 40 (FIG. 1). Stored concurrently with the crystal parameters on the data storage media 32 or 40 is an index or pointer which correlates the stored crystal parameters to the stored image on data storage media by the data storage device 32.

25 Step 54 then branches to step 56 whereupon the central processing unit 24 generates output signals on its output line 25 to activate the motorized staged mechanism 20 to move the stage 22 to position the next well in the focal window 16 of the camera 12. Step 56 then branches to step 52 where steps 52 and 54 are reiteratively executed until an optical image and crystal parameters for each of the
30 wells 18 on the stage 22 have been acquired and stored as previously described.

With reference now to FIGS. 4 and 5, following the acquisition and storage of the images and crystal parameters, step 58 is then executed to analyze the stored image. Step 58 is illustrated in greater detail in FIG. 5 and FIG. 6.

5 With reference then to FIG. 5, during the analysis of the stored image, the program at step 60 executes a fast T-squared filter in order to locate and identify the edges of the crystal image. The fast T-squared filter is essentially a local digital filter which looks for a line defined as a set of co-linear pixels having a mean value different from the mean value of adjacent pixels on both sides of the line. Preferably, the fast T-squared filter at step 60 computes the two sample T-
10 statistic with a null value of 0.

Preferably, the T-squared filter utilizes integer mathematics to minimize computing time required by the central processing unit. The T-squared filter at step 60 is also preferably a variable width T-filter which identifies lines having variable widths, e.g. a width varying in size from one pixel to five pixels. Step 60
15 then branches to step 62.

At step 62 a low pass filter is applied to the image to remove extraneous noise. Step 62 then branches to step 64.

At step 64, the program "fills" digitally any gaps, such as the gap 54 in FIG. 2, which may be present in the digitized image. Thus, following step 64, the
20 program has identified not only the lines of the crystal, but also eliminated extraneous noise in the crystal image as well as completed any missing segments of the crystal edges due to deficiency of the camera, lighting or the like. Step 64 then branches to step 66 (FIG. 4).

At step 66, the program "scores" or evaluates the crystal images and then
25 stores the score or evaluation as one of the crystal parameters on the storage device 40. Such scoring includes examination and evaluation of the straightness of the crystal edges, the presence or absence of defects in the crystal, fractures in the crystal and the like.

30 With reference then to FIG. 6, during the analysis of the stored image, the computer is programmed to analyze the optical image for the presence and count of protein crystals. As a part of the evaluation, the computer program identifies

the edges of the crystal and then analyzes the resulting data for its perimeter symmetry and roughness and center of gravity.

Preferably, the present invention utilizes a 3x3 edge detection filter during its analysis of the optical image in order to identify the crystal edges, then the
5 image is converted to a binary image with a threshold of approximately 40 on a scale of 0 to 255 to reduce image artifacts. The size of the crystal is determined via perimeter connectivity analysis. Objects with a small perimeter are excluded. The net resulting image is analyzed for its roughness which is a measure of the perimeter divided by the convex perimeter. This metric is used to isolate the
10 crystal from the drop boundary and other artifacts. The center of gravity is calculated on the remaining data to pinpoint the crystals.

From the foregoing, it can be seen that the present invention provides a system for the automatic acquisition, storage and evaluation of crystal specimens under computer control. The use of software templates by the operator to
15 minimize the entry of duplicative or repetitive information further enhances not only the reliability of the data inputted into the system, but also minimizes technician labor.

A still further advantage of the present invention is the storage of the crystal parameters in a relational database which enables the manipulation and
20 evaluation (i.e., experimental history, archiving, trend analysis, etc.) of the crystal parameters under computer control utilizing search and 3-D plotting capabilities. The storage of the crystal images in removable data storage media separate from the storage of the crystal parameters also ensures that a large number of crystal parameters can be stored in the relational database with access to the crystal
25 images always available.

Having described our invention, however, many modifications thereto will be come apparent to those skilled in the art to which it pertains without deviation from the spirit of the invention as defined by the scope of the appended claims.

We claim:

APPENDIX A

Cystalix File Setup Run Video Database View

Score Date T Date
89 1/30/97 1/13/97

Protein Lysozyme
Concen 20 mg/ml Vol 2 ml pH 4.7

Protein Buffer Sodium Acetate
Concen 20 mM

Reservoir Buffer Sodium Acetate
Concen 20 mM Vol 1000 ml pH 5

Drop Additive Sodium Acetate
Concen 20 mM Vol 20 ml

Protein Agent NaCl
Concen 8 mM

Tray Well Temp 3 A1 22 81

Search Thumbnails Plot Live Freeze Thaw Repeat Delete Save Exit

Database Thumbs Plot Live Freeze Thaw Repeat Delete Save Exit

File Setup Run Video Database View

Project 1027

| Index | Protein | Protein Concentration | Protein Volume | Protein pH | Protein Buffer |
|-------|---------|-----------------------|----------------|------------|----------------|
| 75 | Lyszyme | 36 | 2 | 4.7 | Sodium Acetate |
| 76 | Lyszyme | 36 | 2 | 4.7 | Sodium Acetate |
| 77 | Lyszyme | 36 | 2 | 4.7 | Sodium Acetate |
| 78 | Lyszyme | 36 | 2 | 4.7 | Sodium Acetate |
| 79 | Lyszyme | 36 | 2 | 4.7 | Sodium Acetate |
| 80 | Lyszyme | 36 | 2 | 4.7 | Sodium Acetate |
| 81 | Lyszyme | 36 | 2 | 4.7 | Sodium Acetate |
| 82 | Lyszyme | 36 | 2 | 4.7 | Sodium Acetate |

| Score | Tray | Well | Run Date | Entry Date | Temp | Index |
|-------|------|------|----------|------------|------|-------|
| 89 | 3 | A6 | 1/13/97 | 1/30/97 | 22 | 79 |

| Protein | Concentration | Volume | pH |
|---------------------|---------------|--------|-----|
| Lyszyme. | 36 mg/mL | 2 uL | 4.7 |
| Protein Buffer. | Concentration | | |
| Sodium Acetate | 20 mM | | |
| Resonant Buffer | Concentration | Volume | pH |
| Sodium Acetate | 20 mM | 1 uL | 5 |
| Drop Additive | Concentration | | |
| Sodium Acetate | 20 mM | | |
| Precipitating Agent | Concentration | | |
| NaCl | 8 % | | mM |


Abort Search Filter
 Database: Haemoglobin Plot

BSA.com Inc. Delphi 2000 Exploring View Pro PKZIP Proj1027 Help

View Database

| Index | Protein | Protein Concentration | Protein Volume | Protein Weight | Protein Buffer |
|-------|----------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 2 | Lysozyme | 40 | 10 | 4.7 | Sodium Acetate |
| 3 | Lysozyme | 40 | 10 | 4.7 | Sodium Acetate |
| 4 | Lysozyme | 40 | 10 | 4.7 | Sodium Acetate |
| 5 | Lysozyme | 40 | 10 | 4.7 | Sodium Acetate |
| 6 | Lysozyme | 40 | 10 | 4.7 | Sodium Acetate |
| 7 | Lysozyme | 40 | 8 | 4.7 | Sodium Acetate |
| 8 | Lysozyme | 40 | 8 | 4.7 | Sodium Acetate |
| 9 | Lysozyme | 40 | 8 | 4.7 | Sodium Acetate |

Lysozyme: Concentration = 40, Volume = 20, Weight = 4.7, Buffer = Sodium Acetate
 Protein Buffer: Concentration = 40, Volume = 20, Weight = 4.7, Buffer = Sodium Acetate
 Reservoir Buffer: Concentration = 40, Volume = 20, Weight = 4.7, Buffer = Sodium Acetate
 Buffers: Concentration = 40, Volume = 20, Weight = 4.7, Buffer = Sodium Acetate
 Wash Buffers: Concentration = 40, Volume = 20, Weight = 4.7, Buffer = Sodium Acetate



| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| A | | | | | | | <div>Notes</div> <div>Memo1</div> |
| B | | | | | | | |
| C | | | | | | | |
| D | | | | | | | |

Protein

albumin

Concentration

55

Volume

66

Notes

Memo1

Protein Buffer

ADA

Concentration

33

Volume

Protein Buffer

MOPS

Concentration

Volume

Protein Buffer

Sodium Acetate

Concentration

Volume

Protein Buffer

Lithium Sulfate

Concentration

Volume

Claims

- 1 1. A system for utilizing a digital computer to evaluate microscopic
2 details of specimens, e.g. crystals, comprising:
3 a camera which generates an output signal representative of an image
4 positioned in a focal plane of the camera,
5 means for automatically positioning a specimen in said focal plane,
6 means for acquiring and storing said output signal from said camera,
7 means for evaluating said stored image from said camera and for
8 generating a result signal representative thereof,
9 means for outputting said result signal.
- 1 2. The invention as defined in claim 1 wherein said evaluating means
2 comprises means for determining presence of crystals.
- 1 3. The invention as defined in claim 2 wherein said evaluating means
2 further comprises means for determining the count of said crystals.
- 1 4. The invention as defined in claim 1 and comprising means for
2 generating three-dimensional surface plots of experimental data within a database.
- 1 5. The invention as defined in claim 1 and comprising means for
2 automatically controlling zoom and focus.

AMENDED CLAIMS

[received by the International Bureau on 11 December 1998 (11.12.98);
original claims 1-5 amended; new claims 6-14 added (2 pages)]

1 1. A system for utilizing a digital computer to evaluate microscopic
2 details of specimens, e.g. crystals, comprising:
3 a camera which generates an output signal representative of an image
4 positioned in a focal plane of the camera,
5 a tray which positions a specimen in said focal plane,
6 a computer processing unit having a persistent storage device, which
7 computer processing unit acquires said output signal from said camera,
8 said computer processing unit being programmed to evaluate said stored
9 image from said camera and for generating a result signal representative thereof,
10 said computer processing unit being programmed to store said result
11 signals in said persistent storage device.

1 2. The invention as defined in claim 1 wherein each said specimen
2 comprises at least one crystal.

1 3. The invention as defined in claim 2 wherein said computer
2 processing unit is programmed to count said crystals.

1 4. The invention as defined in claim 2 wherein said computer
2 processing unit is programmed to generate three-dimensional surface plots of
3 crystals within a database.

1 5. The invention as defined in claim 1 and comprising a movable
2 stage for automatically positioning said specimens in said focal plane.

1 6. The invention as defined in claim 2 and comprising a T-squared
2 filter to identify said crystals in each said specimen.

1 7. The invention as defined in claim 6 where said T-squared filter
2 comprises a software program executed by said computer processing unit.

1 8. The invention as defined in claim 5 wherein said computer
2 processing unit generates output signals to control the movement of said movable
3 stage.

1 9. The invention as defined in claim 4 wherein data relating to said
2 crystal(s) is stored in the database.

1 10. The invention as defined in claim 1 and comprising a light source
2 directed to said specimen.

1 11. The invention as defined in claim 10 and comprising an optical
2 fiber extending between said light source and said specimen.

1 12. The invention as defined in claim 2 and comprising a computer
2 algorithm executed by said computer processing unit for rating said crystals with
3 respect to predetermined standards.

1 13. The invention as defined in claim 2 and comprising a computer
2 algorithm executed by said computer processing unit for simulating edges of
3 crystals missing in said image generated by said camera.

1 14. The invention as defined in claim 2 wherein said computer
2 processing unit is programmed to determine crystal size by determination of the
3 length of the perimeter of said crystals.









FIG - 2



**FIG - 3****FIG - 4**

**FIG - 5****FIG - 6**

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US98/14776

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(6) :G06K 9/00

US CL :382/100

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 382/100, 108, 109; 356/30

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

| Category* | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages | Relevant to claim No. |
|-----------|--|-----------------------|
| X | US 5,544,254 A (HARTLEY et al.) 06 August 1996; column 4, line 52 through column 5, line 11; column 5, lines 21-27; column 5, line 44 through column 6, line 10. | 1-5 |
| X | US 5,076,698 A (SMITH et al.) 31 December 1991; column 1, line 64 through column 2, line 40. | 1-5 |
| A | US 5,193,685 A (TREVITHICK) 16 March 1993; see the entire document. | 1-5 |
| A | US 5,124,935 A (WALLNER et al.) 23 June 1992; see the entire document. | 1-5 |
| A | US 4,900,147 A (BOWLEY et al.) 13 February 1990; see the entire document. | 1-5 |



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

•

Special categories of cited documents:

•T

later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

•A

document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

•X

document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

•B

earlier document published on or after the international filing date

•Y

document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

•L

document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

•O

document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

•P

document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

•Q

document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

16 SEPTEMBER 1998

Date of mailing of the international search report

19 OCT 1998

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Box PCT
Washington, D.C. 20231

Authorized officer

ANDREW W. JOHNS

